

Journal of the Royal Colleges of Surgeons of Edinburgh & Ireland

August 2007 Vol 5 No 4

#### **Diabetic foot ulcers - a comprehensive review**

author(s)

P. C. Leung Department of Orthopaedics & Traumatology The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Correspondence to: PC Leung, c/o Department of Orthopaedics & Traumatology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Room 74026, 5th Floor, Clinical Sciences Building, Prince of Wales Hospital, Shatin, Hong Kong Tel: (852) 2632 2723 Fax: (852) 2686 8463 Email: pingcleung@cuhk.edu.hk

# abstract

As the incidence of diabetes mellitus is increasing globally, complications related to this endocrine disorder are also mounting. Because of the large number of patients, foot ulcers developing in the feet of diabetics have become a public health problem. The predisposing factors include abnormal plantar pressure points, foot deformities, and minor trauma. Vulnerable feet usually already have vascular insufficiency and peripheral neuropathy. The complex nature of these ulcers deserves special care. The most useful prognostic feature for healing remains the ulcer depth, ulcers heal poorly if they clearly involve underlying tendons, ligament or joints and, particularly, when gangrenous tissue is seen. Local treatment of the ulcer consists of repeated debridement and dressing. No 'miraculous' outcome is expected, even with innovative agents like skin cover synthetics, growth factors and stem cells. Simple surgery like split skin grafting or minor toe amputations may be necessary. Sophisticated surgery like flap coverages are indicated for younger patients. The merits of an intact lower limb with an abnormal foot have to be weighed against amputation and prosthesis in the overall planning of limb salvage or sacrifice. If limb salvage is the decision, additional means like oxygen therapy, and other alternative medicines, might have benefits. The off-loading of footwear should always be a major consideration as a prevention of ulcer formation.

Keywords: diabetes, foot ulcer, amputation Surgeon, 1 August 2007 219-31

## INTRODUCTION

#### The clinical problem

The incidence of diabetes mellitus is increasing globally.<sup>1</sup> Patients with diabetes have a 12 - 25% lifetime risk of developing a foot ulcer.<sup>2,3</sup> Foot ulcers have become a major and increasing public health problem; the morbidities, impairment of the quality of life of patients, and the implied costs for management have attracted the attention of health policy providers.<sup>4,5</sup>

In spite of their rising importance, the management provided for foot ulcers is often inadequate, resulting in delayed healing and, eventually, the possibility of amputation.<sup>6,7</sup>

This article provides a comprehensive review of the current state of the problem, assessing epidemiology, pathophysiology, treatment modalities and prevention of diabetic foot ulcers.

#### Epidemiology

Since diabetic foot ulcers occur mostly among elderly people, there might still be doubts about whether the presence of diabetes mellitus really enhances the risk of ulcer formation. A study was undertaken in the USA in 2004 through the 2002 National Hospital Discharge Survey, looking at 275,000 in-patient records from 500 hospitals, since 1996. This indicated that elderly diabetics had twice the risk of developing a foot ulcer, three times the risk of developing a foot abscess and four

times the risk of developing osteomyelitis.<sup>8,9</sup> The same group of people requiring either local amputations or higher amputations, were also patients suffering from diabetes.<sup>10-14</sup>

Since different regions of the world tend to have populations with differing body builds, habits and lifestyles and different types of footwear - all of which could affect the development of ulcerations - one may expect to find differences in the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers. Such differences are likely to be found in Asia or Africa and America, for example. Developing countries will experience the greatest rise in the prevalence of Type 2 diabetes in the next twenty years. The people living in these countries, therefore, could expect greater risks of ulceration.<sup>15-18</sup>

At present, the habit of not using tight footwear in South Asia is a special blessing to the people there, as they have a lower risk of ulcer formation. The North-West Diabetes Foot Care Study, completed in Manchester, UK, focused on Type 2 diabetics among migrant populations of South Asia and African-Carribean populations, compared with data from Europeans living in the UK, and revealed a three to four times higher incidence of ulceration in the latter. Therefore, South Asians with diabetes, living in the UK, were facing a third of the risk of foot ulceration, compared with Europeans. The lower risk was attributed to the lower rates of foot deformities, insulin usage, peripheral vascular disease and neuropathy.

An epidemiological study undertaken in Tanzania on diabetic foot ulcers suggested that a rising trend in peripheral vascular disease and neuropathy, related to increasing urbanisation, was increasing the risk of foot ulceration.<sup>19</sup> In another study undertaken in Cameroon in 2005, in the National Centre for Diabetes and Hypertension, the prevalence of foot ulcers was shown to be 11% for out-patients and 25.6% for in-patients. These data correlated well with reports from affluent countries.<sup>20</sup>

### PATHOGENESIS OF DIABETIC FOOT ULCERS

In the diabetic patient, the foot is the crossroad for many pathological processes, in which almost all components of the lower extremity are involved; from skin, subcutaneous tissue, muscles, bones and joints, to blood vessels and nerves. An understanding of these processes is necessary for the development and application of management and preventative strategies.<sup>21</sup>

The development pathway towards ulceration is multifactorial. A critical triad of neuropathy, minor foot trauma and foot deformity is responsible for over 50% of diabetic foot ulcers. In addition, other risk factors like uneven plantar pressures, joint rigidities, and impaired wound healing ability are all contributing factors. Clinically, the predisposing risk factors leading to non-healing ulcers are those outlined in Table 1.

Apart from the obvious clinical predisposing risk factors, recent studies have revealed that very complex mechanisms are involved at the tissue-molecular level, which prevent normal healing processes.<sup>23</sup> Many chemo-cytokines are involved, including matrix metalloproteinases, serine proteinases, integrins, chemokines, replicative cell senescence, growth factors and adult stem cells.<sup>24-28</sup>

Diabetic patients with tissue injury initially display impairment in the immune system response with reduced chemotactic effects to recruit inflammatory cells into the damaged tissues, thus, slowing down healing and increasing the risk of bacterial infection.<sup>27-29</sup> Following this initial period, when the inflammatory response is eventually established, the process switches to an exacerbation of inflammation and proteolysis.<sup>25</sup> The result of prolonged exposure to hyperglycaemia also generates glycation of proteins and disturbances of cell responses, thus, further hindering the process of fibrosis and tissue repair.<sup>30-35</sup>

Recent molecular studies on chronic diabetic ulcers indicated that more specific processes may be involved. For example, it has been found that leucocytes are prevented from ready entry and accumulation in the ulcers, which, therefore, fail to achieve normal healing.<sup>36,37,38</sup>

Other studies on the specific properties of fibroblasts from patients with chronic diabetic ulcers showed that these cells were different from those taken from patients without chronic ulcers in that the high molecular weight hyaluronic acid in the pericellular matrix was much more concentrated. The unique property of the fibroblasts might predispose these patients to chronic ulcer formation.<sup>39-</sup>

#### **TYPES OF ULCERS**

Clinicians have tried to classify diabetic foot ulcers into different categories and grades. Attempts have not been successful because classifications fail to help with clinical judgement and management planning. There is the University of Texas system which grades ulcers by depth and then stages them by the presence of infection and ischaemia, excluding degrees of neuropathy.<sup>45</sup>

The International Working Group on the diabetic foot proposes the PEDCS classification grades for the ulcers on the basis of perfusion, extent, depth, infection and sensation.<sup>46</sup> This looks good but is too complicated. The size, area, depth (SAD) classification attempts to simplify the categories. Alternatively the six grade Wagner-Meggitt classification looks at the depth of ulcers and the existence of tissue gangrene.<sup>47,48</sup> Of these classifications the most practical system to help with the prediction of healing and the possibility of amputation is probably the simpler: the Wagner-Meggitt classification.<sup>49-51</sup> For Grade 2 through 6, the overall chance of local or major amputation is estimated to be around 60%.

# INFECTION AND ULCERS

Infection is usually the consequence rather than the cause of diabetic foot ulcers. Infected chronic ulcers may be classified as mild to moderate or severe, when osteomyelitis is involved.<sup>52-54</sup> Appropriate tissue and bone cultures are useful to guide the use of antibiotic therapy. Grampositive organisms account for the majority of infections, while the prevalence of methicellin

resistant staphylococcus aureus has become prevalent in recent vears.<sup>54-58</sup>

In the deep categories of chronic ulcers, the prevalent organism of infection is still staphylococcus. Comparing superficial swabs and bone biopsy cultures in the identification of organisms, the latter is much more reliable.<sup>59-63</sup>

Although gram-positive organisms are overwhelming in chronic diabetic ulcers, the polymicrobial nature of bacterial growth should not be ignored in the management planning, especially in developing countries.<sup>64</sup>

Chronic ulcers are frequently co-existing with fungal infections of the foot and it has been said that bacterial infection could be predisposed by fungal infection. A study of 13,271 patients with diabetes has shown that 78.4% have fungal infection of the feet. Among these infections, 70.8% are of Tinea pedis type. The investigators, therefore, consider fungal infection a risk factor for foot ulcers.<sup>65</sup> Other studies try to delineate the different types of tinea, i.e. different Candida species, but have not succeeded in identifying species of higher risk.<sup>66</sup> As the link between infection and foot ulcers is so strong in diabetics, the question of whether these patients are immunologically compromised arises. Limited studies have shown that secondary immunodeficiency in both cellular and humoral immune parameters, in patients with chronic bacterial foot infection, is not unexpected.<sup>67</sup>

## **NEUROPATHY AND ULCERS**

Peripheral neuropathy and sensory loss in the diabetic foot is always considered to be the most prominent risk factor in the development of ulcers.<sup>68</sup> The sensory disturbances on the other hand, are further jeopardised by abnormal pressure points under and around the foot and ankle, as well as deformities at different levels of the foot resulting from ageing and arthritis.<sup>69,70</sup> The same pathology is responsible for the slow healing, non-healing and recurrence of foot ulcers.<sup>71</sup> Assessing the state of neuropathy, therefore, is of vital importance with particular reference to prognosis of healing and prevention of recurrence. While it is vital to point out that treating diabetic ulcers without a proper clinical assessment on the state of sensation is totally unacceptable, the practical means of obtaining objective data for proper clinical judgement needs to be discussed. The two most commonly performed tests are the Semmes-Weinstein monofilament test and the biothesiometer test.<sup>72-74</sup>

Sensation testing of the intact skin using the Semmes-Weinstein monofilament is performed by pressing the monofilament onto the site being tested until it bends. The failure to detect the touch indicates that neuropathy is severe and protective sensation is absent. The standard sites to be tested include the plantar surface of the great toe, the metatarsal heads, the heel and any other site under the threat of pressure because of deformities.

More sensation testing is achieved using a biothesiometer, which measures the vibration threshold felt by the patient. The head of the instrument is held perpendicularly to the plantar aspect of the at-risk point, while vibration is gradually increased until detected by the patient. These two simple measuring methods have been validated for reliability by various workers who confirm that highly acceptable intercessional correlations and limited repeatability errors are achieved.<sup>75,76,77</sup>

A podiatry study undertaken in Australia showed that the neuropathy group of diabetics have an annual occurence of ulceration of 4% for those with an abnormal biothesiometer reading, but who still feel the monofilament, 10% for those who cannot feel the monofilament, and 26% for those

with previous ulceration or amputations.<sup>78</sup> The same group of investigators have estimated that, with reasonable podiatric care, only one ulcer develops in 367 diabetic subjects without peripheral neuropathy. However, the number increases to 1 in 45 for those with neuropathy, 1 in 18 for those who cannot feel the monofilament and one in seven for those who have already experienced ulceration or toe amputation.

There is one other aspect of neuropathy occurring among diabetic patients which is often overlooked. Proprioceptive involvement leading to Charcot arthropathy of the foot and ankle joints often co-exists with chronic foot ulcers.<sup>79-81</sup> It should be realised that many major amputations are done because of uncontrolled neuropathic arthropathy; early awareness with the provision of effective bracing might succeed in limb preservation.<sup>82</sup> A study of 115 patients and 127 limbs with neuroarthropathy has shown a 2.7% annual rate of [168]amputation, 23% requiring bracing but 49% developing recurrent ulceration.<sup>83</sup>

With neglected cases, when Charcot arthropathy has been present for a long time, it might be difficult to differentiate it from pyogenic arthritis or osteomyelitis.<sup>84,85</sup> While Charcot joint most commonly affects the tarso-metatarsal and tarsal joint and is painless, osteomyelitis is almost exclusively adjacent to skin ulcers and occurs most frequently around the metatarso-phalangeal joints and the calcaneum.<sup>86</sup>

### **ISCHAEMIA AND ULCERS**

The vascular state of the diabetic foot with ulcers is affected by the general circulatory state, together with the peripheral vascular condition of the diabetic patient. The local manifestations of cutaneous microangiopathy could be considered the result of the general circulatory state, which obviously affects skin perfusion.<sup>87</sup>

It is important to recognise that diabetic patients with co-existing neuropathy and ischaemia may remain asymptomatic. The clinician, therefore, should check the peripheral pulses carefully, including a femoral, popliteal, posterior tibial, peroneal and dorsalis pedis. The presence of a femoral artery or popliteal artery bruit strongly indicates the presence of treatable peripheral vascular disease. The absence of a bruit, which is more common, indicates generalised vascular occlusion.<sup>88</sup> The Doppler device is a helpful tool at this stage. If there is no clinical indication of vascular compromise, no further investigations are usually required. In contrast, those with suggestions of peripheral vascular disease should be investigated with standard angiographic studies. Those suffering from dry gangrene, rest pain, and/or deep ulceration with absent peripheral pulses require further vascular work-up.

Since not all patients are suitable for vascular reconstruction, (e.g. in late presentations, generalised atherosclerosis, elderly age, co-existing morbidities etc.), other tests like transcutaneous oxygen saturation may help to identify those patients who may have better chances of wound healing.<sup>89</sup> Likewise, if the blood pressure of the unaffected great toe is >30mmHg, it stands a much better chance of ulcer healing.

## TREATMENT

#### **General management**

Foot ulceration is a complication caused by diabetic disease and is invariably infected. The diabetic state, therefore, needs to be well controlled and infection should be effectively treated. The control of diabetes in chronic ulcer situations is usually standard and does not pose special difficulties. However, before the infection is put under control, hyperglycaemia tends to fluctuate. There is always the question of whether the stability of the blood sugar level would affect ulcer healing.

A large-scale study was planned in Nottingham in 2003 to look at the effect of close glycaemic control on the healing of diabetic foot ulcers. The design was a prospective, paralle group randomised trial with two arms. One continuing with the hypoglycaemic measures being used, the other with an intensive monitoring for a tighter control of blood sugar. All attending diabetic patients with chronic ulcers of more than four weeks duration were invited to join the study. The intention was to randomise a total of 50 patients over six months and compare ulcer healing. Unfortunately, this study was not completed because of failure to get support from ulcer patients.<sup>90</sup> It therefore remains uncertain whether blood sugar levels affect chronic ulcer healing.

#### Infection control

The predominant pathogens causing diabetic foot infections are gram-positive cocci, however

other pathogens are involved so infection should be considered polymicrobial.<sup>64,91-93</sup> The choice of antibiotic, therefore, is broad-spectrum based while bearing in mind that methicillin-resistant strains are frequently encountered.<sup>94-97</sup> Newer antibiotics and their combinations, such as vancomycin and daptomycin, may be considered.<sup>98</sup>

Appropriate wound swab cultures or bone biopsy cultures should be required for proper management of infection. There are a few randomised controlled trials setting guidelines for the infection control of diabetic chronic ulcers.<sup>56,91</sup> When the culture from an ulcer yields no bacterial growth, it is more logical to withhold antibiotics. For mild, soft tissue infection, oral antibiotics are good enough. Moderate to severe infections require parenteral, combination therapy. The use of topical antibiotics is usually not recommended.

The prevention of infection is not achieved through the use of medications but could be achieved with patient education; foot hygiene, proper protection of pressure points and early local care of shallow ulcers.<sup>99</sup>

### Ulcer care

Although a multitude of factors affect the healing of chronic diabetic foot ulcers, and local care of the ulcer does not guarantee its healing, proper local management is still crucial. Daily or more frequent cleaning and dressing are essential requirements. Regular daily bathing in saline or dilute antiseptic solution offers a better chance of cleaning the ulcers, compared with dressing alone.<sup>100</sup> New dressing methods and new dressing materials are now available to help remove the exudate and to promote a more rapid shrinkage of the ulcers. The use of vacuum-assisted closure or dressing, which is popular in other surgical areas, has started to be used in the foot and ankle areas. Repeated minor surgical debridement followed by vacuum dressing has been shown to be useful in the promotion of ulcer healing.<sup>101</sup>

There are changing perspectives in the local management of diabetic ulcers which include, apart from new dressings, skin substitutes and growth factors.<sup>102-104</sup>

In large ulcers once granulation growth becomes healthy and infection controlled, skin grafting can be considered. Waiting for spontaneous epitheliaisation takes too long. Mesh graft is preferred because entrapment of exudation does not occur and the result of re-surfacing is not inferior.<sup>105</sup> Many artificial dermagrafts are available for temporary granulation coverage. These are not genuine grafts because they basically serve as dressing material, to support ulcer shrinkage, as spontaneous epithelialisation occurs from around the ulcer edges. The dermagrafts are either manufactured from human tissue like amniotic membrance or synthetic material which is bioengineered into a thin covering sheet comprised of dermal fibroblasts.<sup>106</sup>

Most chronic foot ulcers occur among elderly diabetics. The poor circulatory state, neuropathy, and co-morbid conditions do not allow sophisticated surgery. However, when chronic ulcers occur in healthy younger patients, surgical closure with special techniques could be considered.

## **ULCER SURGERY**

Abundant data show that simple surgery consisting of extensive debridement of ulcer wounds and removal of devitalised tissues is the single most important therapeutic step leading to ulcer healing and limb salvage. Chronic ulcers are the result of prolonged biological hazards. Therefore, good outcomes are measured in weeks and months rather than days. At least six to ten weeks is the expected time for healing of these complicated lesions.<sup>107</sup>

Split skin-grafting for weight bearing plantar defects is often a poor option because of the inadequate recipient bed and defective durability of the skin cover. Breakdown of split skin-grafted areas is common with daily ambulation and footwear pressure. Local random flaps have marginal use, because limited local mobility does not allow the skin adjacent to the chronic ulcer to be mobilised. Pedicled muscle flaps have been used as an alternative approach.<sup>108</sup> However, flap viability is still a problem. Under special circumstances, free skin flap transfer using a microvascular technique is feasible and there are many such reported cases. Enthusiasm for these procedures remains low because of the risk of arterial occlusion and the compromised fitness of

diabetic patients.<sup>109</sup> Diabetice ulcers over the heel are common. This weight-bearing area needs an epithelial cover that is durable and upports the body weight. The reverse sural flap may be a good choice. The technique and details of the procedures have been described in many reports and ulcers around the ankle and heel have been adequately resurfaced.<sup>110-113</sup> It is considered particularly useful when chronic osteomyelitis is underlying the chronic ulcers.<sup>114,115</sup> The reverse sural flap survives well and possesses the correct thickness for heel and ankle coverage.

## SURGERY TO FACILITATE ULCER HEALING

Chronic diabetic foot ulcers combined with functional problems add further risks to the formation of more ulcers. Such situations can arise when the Achilles tendon becomes tight as a result of prolonged disuse of the ankle. The plantar-flexed ankle imposes more pressure on the metatarsal heads which may be responsible for pressure ulcer development. The tight Achilles tendon can be lengthened, so as to release metatarsal head pressure. After the lengthening, there is an initial weakening of the plantar flexion pull, which returns to normal in approximately eight months time.<sup>116</sup>

At the same time as releasing of the metatarsal head pressure, the metatarsal head can be removed, via the plantar ulcer or via separate incisions.<sup>117,118</sup> Under special circumstances, metatarsal head resection can be combined with Achilles tendon release. Other tendon balancing procedures can also be added when functional problems are observed. Peroneus longus tendon lengthening is another commonly performed adjuvant measure in cavus foot deformity related to plantar ulceration.<sup>119,120</sup> The diabetic patient with chronic ulceration of the foot often has co-existing deformities which might need to be corrected to prevent ulcer recurrence or new ulcer development. Orthopaedic procedures are then required as the deformities commonly occur in the toes. Correction of Keller's arthroplasty and metatarso-phalangeal joint fusion are two common procedures to correct deformity of the great toe.<sup>121-122</sup>

When diabetic neuropathy results in Charcot joints, surgical intervention often becomes necessary. Surgery may involve joint fusion at the site of the arthropathy or in the most unstable late cases, amputation. Charcot arthropathy commonly occurs at the tarsal joints, which become unstable and initiate various deformities of the foot. Debridement and fusion should be the aim but fusion of the neuropathic joint is usually difficult. In late cases, therefore, amputation at the neuropthic site becomes a secondary option.<sup>123-127</sup>

### SUPPORTIVE TREATMENT

Abnormal pressure points undoubtedly predispose the diabetic foot to ulceration. Protecting the pressure points, therefore, becomes vital for the prevention of ulcer formation. Applying total contact casting as the means of treating early uncomplicated ulcers further supports the need for protecting the pressure points. For the healing of purely neuropathic ulcers, simple pressure relieving casting is effective.<sup>128-130</sup> However, most diabetic ulcers are complicated by peripheral arterial disease and infection. Therefore, pressure relieving devices are important mostly after treatment interventions and ulcer healing.<sup>131,132</sup> A number of these devices are commonly used. They include the simple insole shoe, a special shoe, pneumatic walking brace and bivalved total contact cast. In a study comparing the effectiveness of the four modalities, it has been found that forefoot pressure is uniformly reduced with all devices but peak pressure is reduced by the special shoe and bivalved cast.<sup>133</sup> The logic of increasing the underfoot contact area to decrease plantar foot pressure, therefore, is very sound and should be endorsed as an essential component for the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers.<sup>134</sup> Commercially available devices are used for convenience and general effectiveness. Since deformities are unpredictable in diabetic feet, the total contact cast and its derivatives remain the most efficient off-pressure applications.<sup>135</sup>

#### **ADJUVANT TREATMENT**

For all difficult healing problems, the treatment provided is never limited to conventional modalities. Instead, controversial treatment modalities, frontier research-based attempts and even old traditional alternatives, are used. For chronic diabetic foot ulcers, we will review reports on oxygen therapy, molecular research items already put to trial practice and herbal treatment in alternative medicine.

#### Oxygen therapy

Oxygen therapy, for the majority of people, is also known as hyperbaric oxygen treatment.<sup>136,137</sup> While hyperbaric oxygen therapy is still practiced in some hospitals, topical oxygen is also used for ulcer treatment. With topical oxygen, an airtight chamber is created, using a polyethylene bag which is sealed around the limb by either a constriction device or careful taping, and a high flow of ten litres per minute of oxygen is passed through the chamber over the ulcer. The pressure around

the limb is kept just above atmospheric pressure. Topical oxygen will diffuse into the ulcer area to enhance healing. The mechanism of action, whereby topical oxygen might be helpful to tissue healing, has not been defined. There are claims of observed decreased collagen production and fibroblast inhibition as a result of high oxygen contact.<sup>138</sup>

Hyperbaric oxygen treatment is a more conventional form of therapy for chronic ulcers and diabetic gangrene. Some reports on reasonable sized series give a 70% success rate. The failures are related to poor circulation, as is revealed by low transcutaneous oxygen tensions of below 29mmHg.<sup>139</sup> The relatively high rate of failure, in spite of hyperbaric treatment, casts doubts on the true value of this form of therapy.<sup>140,141</sup>

### Molecular biology and chronic ulcer treatment

As the pathophysiology of wound healing is being understood at the molecular level, an increasing number of growth factors and cytokines are documented and their possible mode of action understood, the next natural development is the application of this knowledge to promote healing of chronic ulcers.

Animal studies have shown that fibroblast growth factors, used either as solitary impregnated gelatin microspheres or laid on artificial dermis, accelerates fibroblast proliferation and capillary formation.<sup>142,143</sup>

Many clinical trials of various growth factors (e.g. granulocyte colony stimulating factors, and fibroblast growth factor have demonstrated the clinical value of their topical use).<sup>144,145</sup>

Innovative attempts to save ischaemic feet with ulcers by the application of stem cells have also been carried out.<sup>146</sup> Stem cells are implanted into the skeletal muscle proximal to the chronic ulcer in an attempt to initiate angiogenesis.<sup>147</sup>

In spite of scattered clinical reports, one must realise that growth factors, and stem cell therapy remain, as yet, experimental. If the ischaemic state is really so bad that even the marginal nutritional needs for all tissues is not satisfied, the outcome is still tissue necrosis and gangrene irrespective of any form of topical or regional treatment.

#### Alternative medicinal treatment

If a wound has received a wide range of treatments yet has failed to heal, alternative treatment is an option. Different modalities are frequently tried, from the old traditional herbal treatment (topical or systemic) to more innovative ultrasonic therapy.<sup>148,149</sup> Many reports are available in Chinese language medical journals, describing the effectiveness of herbal combinations in ulcer healing. However, these reports are not validated by proper clinical trials.

In Hong Kong, a comprehensive investigation has just been completed on a popular herbal formula. In the laboratory, the formula is studied by its effects on fibroblast culture, and wound healing through granulation formation and angiogenesis, and so far the outcome has been very positive.<sup>149</sup> A randomised placebo controlled trial was conducted on 80 patients with non-healing diabetic ulcers occurring in legs which had been listed for major amputation, using the same herbal formula. 85% of the legs were salvaged and the herbal treatment group revealed better granulation, more rapid healing, better surface oxygen tension and microcirculation.<sup>150</sup>

## AMPUTATION

Amputation of the toe(s) with non-healing ulcers or gangrene can sometimes be the only solution towards limb salvage. Amputation sacrificing the whole leg is a life-saving procedure for large, unhealed ulcers which are usually accompanied by other complications of neuropathy and ischaemia. Patients on the whole would prefer to retain the limb and the attending medical team should be supportive of limb salvage, if feasible.<sup>151,152</sup>

In a large cohort study undertaken in 2004, of 24,616 individuals with diabetic neuropathic foot ulcers treated within a multicentre wound care network in the past ten years, 6.7% had amputations of which 46.3% were minor, e.g. limited to a toe. Over a ten-year period, 60% of those who previously received minor amputations needed further amputations.<sup>153</sup> In this study, there were no gender or age difference, and the need for amputation was unrelated to the number or duration of ulceration. In hospital settings, the incidence of amputations, whether minor or major, tends to be higher because of the need for hospital admission when the ulcer reaches a more advanced state.<sup>154</sup> The statistics from one general hospital in Hong Kong (Kwong Wah Hospital), for example, indicates that in a ten-year period from 1995 to 2005, 154 of the 851 patients admitted with diabetic foot ulcers underwent major lower limb amputation (18.1%).

document the incidence and use it as an indicator for progress assessment. While different care centres would have their own cohort of clients, cross centre comparison using amputation rate is obviously unfair. However, for an intra-departmental assessment, it could be a simple and useful indicator.155

## **QUALITY OF LIFE**

Major amputations are done when the ulcerated foot either threatens patient survival or when reasonable function can no longer be expected. However, an inappropriately conservative approach could conceivably enhance suffering by condemning a person to months of incapacity before they die with a non-healed ulcer. The consideration of the guality of life in patients with nonhealed ulcers or different levels of amputation is, therefore, of importance. With non-healing ulcers, diabetic patients run a high risk of depression. In joint research between medical departments and the biobehavioural department on 494 patients with diabetic neuropathy, it was found that the incidence of depression was not directly related to the severity of neuropathy but rather with the perceptions of unpredictable outcome, lack of treatment control, restrictions in activities of daily living and changes in social perceptions (quality of life).<sup>156</sup>

Other studies have indicated that health-related quality of life indices do suffer in patients with chronic foot ulcers, mainly due to disruption of leisure activities and constraints secondary to treatment.<sup>157</sup> The indices show marked improvement after ulcer healing.<sup>158</sup>

#### CONCLUSION

Despite much efforts towards the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers, the incidence of lower extremity amputation rate remains about the same. Amputation is a costly outcome and should be prevented as far as possible. Ulcers should be prevented and if they have already occurred, should be treated early.<sup>159</sup> There is little doubt that they'll require special care, since these ulcers heal differently from other ulcers, because of the unique predisposing causes including peripheral neuropathy, vascular insufficiency and hyperglyeaemia which invites infection, and the lack of an orderly and predictable healing process. Assessment still relies mainly on clinical judgement, Risk factors should be detected on the first encounter; the vascular state should be carefully assessed through pulse studies and surface circulation, and the neuropathic state tested by performing the 10gm Semmes-Weinstein monofilament test. The depth of the chronic ulcer is more important prognostically than other criteria such as size, number and duration.

Local care of the ulcer is essential. No 'miraculous' outcome can be expected, even with innovative agents like synthetics, growth factors and stem cells. However, dressings including removal of necrotic tissue and drainage of infected exudates, helps to provide better granulation formation. Control of the diabetic state and timely control of infection is also essential.

For hospital admissions, the ulcers are already deep, infection prolonged and vascular reconstruction may be too late to be beneficial (although prevention of claudication pain and avoidance of major amputation may still be achievable). One has to acknowledge that a wellperformed amputation and successful rehabilitation can improve a patient's quality of life, while an abnormal foot after minor amputations is much more acceptable and useful to the elderly patient than an ulcerated foot. Salvage attempts, therefore, need to be carefully tried before ablative surgery.<sup>160</sup>

Since ulceration occurs as a result of repeated minor trauma caused by footwear pressure on the deformed foot, prevention of ulcer formation must start with the protection of the pressure points i.e. off-loading. Total contact casts and their modifications remain the most effective off-loading devices and should always be included in the consideration of foot ulcer treatment and prevention. Consideration of the social and psychological implications are also important in planning strategies for the prevention of ulcer recurrences. Since the diabetic foot ulcer has developed into a public health problem, it deserves a holistic approach including socio-economic planning.<sup>161</sup>

#### Copyright © 10 October 2006

## References

#### References

1. Reiber GE. Epidemiology of foot ulcers and amputation in the diabetic foot. Bowker JH, Pfeifer MA, eds. The diabetic foot, 6th ed. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby Inc; 2001: 13-32

2. Abbott CA, Garrow AP, Carrington AL, Morris J, Van Ross ER, Boulton AJ. Foot ulcer riskis lower in South-Asian and African-Craibbean compared with European diabetic patients in the UK: the north-west diabetes foot care study. Diabetes Care 2005; 28: 1869-75

3. Ramsey SD, Newton K, Blough D, McCulloch DK, Sandhy N, Reiber GE, et al. Incidence, outcomes and cost of foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 382-7

4. Ragnarson-Tennvall G, Apelqvist J. Health-economic consequences of diabetic foot lesions. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39 (suppl 2): S132-9

5. Margolis DJ, Allen-Taylor L, Hoffstad O, Berlin JA. Diabetic neuropathic foot ulcers and amputation. Wound Repair Regen 2005; 13: 230-6

6. Margolis DJ, Kantor J, Berlin JA. Healing of diabetic neuropathic foot ulcers receiving standard treatment. A meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 1999: 22: 692-5

7. Margolis DJ, Allen-Taylor L, Hoffstad O, Berlin JA. Healing diabetic neuropathic foot ulcers: Are we getting better? Diabet Med 2005; 22: 172-6

8. Reed JF. An audit of lower extremity complications in octogenarian patients with diabetes mellitus. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2004; 3 (3): 161-4

9. Morley JE, Mooradian AD, Rosenthal MJ, Kaiser FE. Diabetes mellitus in elderly patients. Is it different? Am J Med 1987; 83: 533-44

10. Evans SL, Nixon BP, Lee I, Yee D, Mooradian AD. The prevalence and nature of podiatric problems in elderly diabetic patients. J Am Geriatr Soc 1991; 39: 241-5

11. Nelzen O, Bergqvist D, Lindhagen A. High prevalence of diabetes in chronic leg ulcer patients: a cross-sectional population study. Diabet Med 1993; 10: 345-50

12. Hall MJ, DeFrances CJ. 2001 National Hospital Discharge Survey. Advance data from vital and health statistics; No: 332. Hyattsville (MD): National Center for Health Statistics; 2003

13. Most RS, Sinnock P. The epidemiology of lower extremity amputations in diabetic individuals. Diabetes Care 1983;6:87-91

14. Currie CJ, Morgan CL, Peters JR. The epidemiology and cost of in-patient care for peripheral vascular disease, infection, neuropathy, and ulceration in diabetes. Diabetes Care 1998; 21: 42-8 15. Boulton AJ, Vileikytel L, Ragnarson-Tennvall G, Apelqvist J. The global burden of diabetic foot disease. Lancet 2005; 366: 1719-24

16. Wild S, Roglic G, Freen A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: Estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 2004; 27: 1047-53

17. Chaturvedi No, McKeigue PM. Methods for epidemiological surveys of ethnic minority groups. J Epidemiol Community Health 1994; 48: 107-11

18. McKeigue PM, Shah B, Marmot MG. Relation of central obesity and insulin resistance with high diabetes prevalence and cardiovascular risk in South Asians. Lancet 1991; 337: 382-6

19. Abbott CA, Garrow AP, Carrington AL, Morris J, Van Ross ER, AJ Boulton. Foot ulcer risk is lower in South-Asian and African-Caribbean compared with European diabetic patients in the UK. The North-West Diabetes Foot Care Study. Diabetes Care 2005; 28 (8): 1869-75

20. Abbas ZG, Archibald LK. Epidemiology of the diabetic foot in Africa. Med Sci Monit 2005; 11 (8): RA262-70

21. Schaper NC, Nabuurs-Franssen MH. The diabetic foot: Pathogenesis and clinical evaluation. Semin Vasc Med 2002; 2 (2): 221-8

22. Dinh TL, Veves A. A review of the mechanisms implicated in the pathogenesis of the diabetic foot. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2005; 4 (3): 154-9

23. Medina A, Scott Paul G, Ghahary A, Tredget Edward E. Pathophysiology of chronic nonhealing wounds. Burn Care Rehabil 2005; 26 (4): 306-19

24. Harding KG, Morris HL, Patel GD. Science, medicine and the future:healing chronic wounds. BMJ 2002; 324: 160-3

25. Lobmann R, Ambrosch A, Schultz G, Waldmann K, Schiweek S, Lehnert H. Expression of matrix-metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in the wounds of diabetic and non-diabetic patients. Diabetologia 2002; 45: 1011-6

26. Wall SJ, Sampson KN, Levell N, Murphy G. Elevated matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -3 production from human diabetic dermal fibroblasts. Br J Dermatol 2003; 149: 13-16

27. Goova MT, Li J, Kislinger T, Qu W, Lu Y, Bucciarelli LG, et al. Blockade of receptor for advanced glycation end-products restores effective wound healing in diabetic mice. Am J Pathol 2001; 159: 513-5

28. Bennett SP, Griffiths GD, Schor AM, Leese GP, Schor SL. Growth factors in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Br J Surg 2003; 90: 133-46

29. Mast B, Schultz G. Interactions of cytokines, growth factors, and proteases in acute and

chronic wounds. Wound Repair Regen 1996; 4: 411-20

30. Wetzler C, Kampfer H, Stallmeyer B, Pfeilschifter J, Frank S. Large and sustained induction of chemokines during impaired wound healing in the genetically diabetic mouse: prolonged persistence of neutrophils and macrophages during the late phase of repair. J Invest Dermatol 2000; 115: 245-53

31. Duraisamy Y, Slevin M, Smith N, Bailey J, Zweit J, Smith C, et al. Effect of glycation on basic fibroblast growth factor induced angiogenesis and activation of associated signal transduction pathways in vascular endothelial cells: possible relevance to wound healing in diabetes. Angiogenesis 2001; 4: 277-88

32. Portero-Otin M, Pamplona R, Bellmunt MJ, Ruiz MC, Prat J, Salvayre R, et al. Advanced glycation end product precursors impair epidermal growth factor receptor signalling. Diabetes 2002; 51: 1535-42

33. Brigstock DR. The CCN family: a new stimulus package. J Endocrinol 2003; 178: 169-75 34. Abe H, Matsubara T, Iehara N, Nagai K, Takahashi T, Arai H, et al. Type IV collagen is transcriptionally regulated by Smad under advanced glycation end product (AGE) stimulation. J Biol Chem 2004; 279: 14201-06

35. Stephens P, Cook H, Hilton J, Jones CJ, Haughton MF, WyllieFS, et al. An analysis of replicative senescence in dermal fibroblasts derived from chronic leg wounds predicts that eelomerase therapy would fail to reverse their disease-specific cellular and proteolytic phenotype. Exp Cell Res 2003; 283: 22-35

36. Galkowska H, Wojewodzka U, Olszewski WL. Low recruitment of immune cells with increased expression of endothelial adhesion molecules in margins of the chronic diabetic foot ulcers. Wound Repair Regen 2005; 13: 248-54

37. Galkowska H, Olszewski WL, Wojewodzka U. Keratinoyte and dermal vascular endothelial cell capacities remain unimpaired in the margin of chronic venous ulcers. Arch Dermatol Res 2005; 296: 286-95

38. Moore K, Ruge F, Harding KG. T lymphocytes and the lack of activated macrophages in wound margin biopsies from chronic leg ulcers. Br J Dermatol 1997; 137: 188-94

39. Yevdokimova NY, Podpryatov SE. Hyaluronic acid production and CD44 expression in cultured dermal fibroblasts of patients with non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus with and without chronic ulcers on the lower extremity. Wound Repair Regen 2005; 13: 181-8

40. Harding KG, Morris HL, Patel GK. Science, medicine and the future: Healing chronic wounds. BMJ 2002; 324: 160-3

41. Mansbridge JN, Liu K, Pinney RE, Patch R, Ratcliffe A, Naughton GK. Growth factors secreted by fibroblasts: Role in healing diabetic foot ulcers. Diab Obes Metab 1999; 1: 265-79

42. Ellis I, Banyard J, Schor SL. Differential response of fetal and adult fibroblasts to cytokines: Cell migration and hyaluronan synthesis. Development 1997; 124: 1593-600

43. Loots M, Lamme EN, Mekkes JR, Bos JD, Middelkoop E. Cultured fibroblasts from chronic diabetic wounds on the lower extremity (non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus) show disturbed proliferation. Arch Dermatol Res 1999; 291: 93-9

44. Yevdokimova N. High glucose-induced alterations of extracellular matrix of human skin fibroblasts are not dependent on TSP-1-TGFbetal pathway. J Diabetes Complications 2003; 17: 355-64

45. Oyibo SO, Jude EB, Tarawneh I, Nguyen HC, Harkless LB, Boulton AJ. A comparison of two diabetic foot ulcer classification systems: the Wagner and the University of Texas wound classification systems. Diabetes Care 2001; 24: 84-88.46. Schaper NC. Diabetic foot ulcer

classification system for research purposes: A progress report on criteria for including patients in research studies. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2004; 20 (suppl 1): S90-5

47. Cuzzell J. Wound assessment and evaluation and diabetic ulcer protocol. Dermatology Nurs 2003; 15 (2): 153-5

48. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Deery HG, Embil JM, Joseph WS, Karchmer AW, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infections. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39: 885-910

49. Treece KA, MacFarlane RM, Pound N, Game FL, Jeffcoate WJ. Validation of a system of foot ulcer classification in diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 2004; 21: 987-91

50. Lawrence S, Wraight P, Campbell D, Colman PG. Assessment and management of inpatients with acute diabetes related foot complications. Intern Med J 2004; 34: 229-33

51. Margolis KJ, Taylor LA, Hoffstad O, Berline JA. Diabetic neuropthic foot ulcer: The association

of wound size, wound duration and would grade. Diabetic Care 2003; 25: 1835-9 52. Calhoun JH, Overgaard KA, Stevens CM, Dowling JP, Mader JT. Diabetic foot ulcers and infections: Current concepts. Adv Skin Wound Care 2002; 15: 31-42

53. Ge Y, MacDonald D, Hait H, Lipsky B, Zarloff M, Holroyd K, et al. Microbiological profile of infected diabetic foot ulcers. Diabet Med 2002; 19: 1032-4

54. Newman LG, Waller J, Palestro CJ, Schwartz M, Klein MJ, Hermann G, Harrington E, et al. Unsuspected osteomyelitis in diabetic foot ulcers: Diagnosis and monitoring by leukocyte scanning with indium in 111 oxyguinoline. JAMA 1991; 266: 1246-51

55. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR. Principles and practice of antibiotic therapy of diabetic foot infections. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2000; 16 (suppl I): S42-6

56. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Deery HG, Embil JM, Joesph WS, Karchmer AW, et al. Diagnosisi and treatment of diabetic foot infections. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39: 885-910

57. Tentolouris N, Jude EB, Smirnof I, Knowles EA, Boulton AJ. Methicillin-resistant

staphylococcus aureus: An increasing problem in a diabetic foot clinic. Diabet Med 1999; 16: 767-71

58. Dang CN, Prasad YD, Boulton AJ, Jude EB. Methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus in the diabetic foot clinic: A worsening problem. Diabet Med 2003; 20: 159-61

59. Senneville E, Melliez H, Beltrand E, Legout L, Valette M, Cazaubiel M, Cordonnier M, et al. Culture of percutaneious bone biopsy specimens for diagnosis of diabetic foot osteomyelitis: concordance with ulcer swab cultures. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42: 57-62

60. Slater RA, Lazarovitch T, Boldur I, Ramot Y, Buchs A, Weiss, et al. Swab cultures accurately identify bacterial pathogens in diabetic foot wounds not involving bone. Diabet Med 2004; 21: 705-09

61. Wheat LJ, Allen SD, Henry M, Kermek CGB, JA, Kuebler T, et al. Diabetic foot infections: bacteriologic analysis. Arch Intern Med 1986; 146: 1935-40

62. Lipsky BA, Pecoraro RE, Wheat JL. The diabetic foot: Soft tissue and bone infection. Infect Dis Clin North Am 1990; 4: 409-32

63. Mackowiak PA, Jones SR, Smith JW. Diagnostic value of sinus-tract cultures in chronic osteomyelitis. JAMA 1978; 239: 2772-5

64. Unachukwu CN, Obunge OK, Odia OJ. The bacteriology of diabetic foot ulcers in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Niger J Med 2005; 14 (2): 173-6

65. Lee KH, Lee JH, Lee JD. Prevalence of fungal infection on foot in diabetic patients and correlation between diabetic ulcer and fungal infection. Korean J Dermatology 2003; 41 (7): 908-15 66. Missoni EM, Rade D, Nederal S. Differientiation between Candida species isolated from diabetic foot by fatty acid methyl ester analysis using gas chromatography. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2005; 822: 118-23

67. Jirkovska A, Fejfarova V, Hosova J. Analysis of the inflammation reactiion and selected indicators of imminity in patients with an infected diabetic ulcer. Cas Lek Cesk 2002; 141 (15): 483-6

68. Rathur HM, Boulton AJM. Pathogenesis of foot ulcers and the need for off loading. Horm Metab Res 2005; 37: 61-8

69. Wu SC, Crews RT, Armstrong DG. The pivotal role of off loading in the management of neuropathic foot ulceration. Curr Diab Rep 2005; 5 (6): 423-9

70. Tamir E, Heim M, Siev-Ner I. Removable fiber glass deloading cast for the management of neuropathic plantar ulceration of thee foot. Isr Med Assoc J 2005; 7 (8): 507-10

71. Pound N, Chipchases, Treece K, Game F, Jeffcoate W. Ulcer free survival following management of foot ulcers in diabetes. Diabet Med 2005; 22: 1306-09

72. Bohannon RW, Pfaller BA. Documentation of wound surface area from tracings of wound perimeters. Phys Ther 1983; 63: 1622-4

73. Diamond JE, Mueller MJ, Delitto A, Sinacore DR. Reliability of a diabetic foot evaluation. Phys Ther 1989; 69: 797-802

74. Armstrong DG, Hussain SK, Middleton J, Peters EJ, W Underlich RP, Lavery LA, et al. Vibration perception threshold: Are multiple sites of testing superior to single site testing on diabetic foot examination? Ostomy Wound Manage 1998; 44: 70-4

75. Klenerman L, McCabe C, Cogley D, Crepand S, Laing P, White M, et al. Screening for patients at risk of diabetic foot ulceration in a general diabetic outpatient clinic. Diabet Med 1996; 13: 561-3 76. Frenette B, Mergier D, Ferraris J. Measurement precision of a portable instrument to asscess

vibrotactile perception threshold. Eur J Appl Physiol 1990; 61: 386-91

77. Bloom S, Till S, Sonksen P, Smith S. Use of a biothesiometer to measure individual vibration and their variation in 519 non-diabetic subjects. Br Med J 1984; 288: 1793-5

78. McGill M, Molyneaux L, Yue DK. Which diabetic patients should receive podiatry care? Intern Med J 2005; 35: 451-6

79. Pecoraro RE, Reiber GE, Burgess EM. Pathways to diabetic limb amputation: Basis of prevention. Diabetes Care 1990; 13: 513-21

80. Pinzur MS. Benchmark analysis of diabetic patients with neuropathic (Charcot) foot deformity. Foot Ankle Int 1999; 20: 564-7

81. Schon LC, Easley ME, Weinfeld SB. Charcot neuroarthropathy of the foot and ankle. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1998; 349: 116-31

82. Reiber GE, Pecoraro Re, Koepsell TD. Risk factors for amputation in patients with diabetes mellitus: A case-control study. Ann Intern Med 1992; 117: 97-105

83. Saltzman CL, Hagy ML, Zimmerman B, Estin M, Cooper R, et al. How effective is intensive nonoperative initial treatment of patients with diabetes and charcot arthropathy of the feet. Clin Orthop Rel Res 2005; 435: 185-90

84. Karchmer AW, Gibbons GW. Foot infections in diabetes, evaluation and management. Curr Clin Top Infect Dis 1994; 14: 1-22

85. Morrison WB, Schweizer ME, Wapner KL, Hecht PJ, Gannon FH, Behm WR. Osteomyelitis in feet of diabetes, clinical accuracy, surgical utility and cost-effectiveness of MR imaging. Radiology 1995; 196: 557-64

86. Ledermann H, Morrison WB, Schweitzer ME. MR image analysis of pedal osteomyelitis, distribution, patterns of sprend and frequency of associated ulceration and septic arthritis. Radiology 2002; 223: 747-55

87. Ngo BT, Hayes KD, Dimiao DJ, Srinivasan SK, Huerter CJ, Rendell MS. Manifestations of cutaneous diabetic microangiopathy. Am J Clin Dermatol 2005; 6 (4): 225-37

88. McGee SR, Boyko EJ. Physical examination and chronic lower extremity ischaemia: a critical review. Arch Intern Med 1998; 158: 1357-64

89. Kalani M, Brismar K, Fagrell B, Ostergren J, Jorneskog G. Transcutaneious oxygen tension and toe blood pressure as predictor of outcome of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 147-51

90. Idris I, Game F, Jeffcoate W. Does close glycaemic control promote healing in diabetic foot ulcers? Report of a feasibility study. Diabet Med 2005; 22: 1060-3

91. Lipsky BA. A report from the international consensus on diagnosing and treating the infected diabetic foot. Diabetes/Metab Res Rev 2004; 20 (suppl 1): S68-S77

92. Lipsky BA, Pecoraro RE, Larson SA, Hanley ME, Ahroni JH. Outpatient management of uncomplicated lower-extremity infections in diabetic patients. Arch Intern Med 1990; 150: 790-7 93. Lipsky BA, Itani K, Norden C. Treating foot infections in diabetic patients: a randomized, multicenter, open-label trial of linezolid versus ampicillin-sulbactam/co-amoxiclav. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 38: 17-24

94. Dang CN, Prasad, YD, Boulton AJ, Jude EB. Methicillin-resistant staphyloccoccus aureus in the diabetic foot clinic: a worsening problem. Diabet Med 2003; 20: 159-61

95. Tentolouris N, Jude EB, Smirnof I, Knowles EA, Boulton AJ. Methicillin-resistant

staphyloccoccus aureus: An increasing problem in a diabetic foot clinic. Diabet Med 1999; 16: 767-71

96. Mantey I, Hill RL, Foster AV, Wilson S, Wade JJ, Edmonds ME, et al. Infection of foot ulcers with staphyloccoccus aureus associated with increased mortality in diabetic patients. Commun Dis Public Health 2000; 3: 288-90

97. Wagner A, Reike H, Angelkort B. Highly resistant pathogens in patients with diabetic foot syndrome with special reference to methicillin-resistant staphyloccoccus aureus infections. Dtsch Med Wochenschr 2001; 126: 1353-6

98. Lipsky BA, Stoutenburgh U. Daptomycin for treating infected diabetic foot ulcers:evidence from a randomized, controlled trial comparing daptomycin with vancomycin or semi-synthetic penicillins for complicated skin and skin-structure infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2005; 55: 240-599. Rao N. Anti-infective therapy for foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2005; 439: 87-90

100. Ramsey SD, Newton K, Blough D, McCulloch DK, Sandhu N, Reiber GE, Wagner EH.

Incidence, outcomes and cost of foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 382-7

101. Mendonca DA, Cosker T, Makwana NK. Vacuum-assisted closure to aid wound healing in foot and ankle surgery. Foot Ankle Int 2005; 26 (9): 761-6

102. Dang CN, Boulton AJ. Changing perspectives in diabetic foot ulcer management. Int J Low Extreme Wounds 2003; 2 (1): 4-12

103. Tom WL, Peng DH, Allaei, Hsu D, Hata TR. Effect of short-contact topical tretinoin therapy for foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. Arch Dermatol 2005; 141 (11): 1373-7

104. Lain EL, Carrington PR. Imiquimod treatment of exuberant granulation tissue in a non healing diabetic ulcer. The Cutting edge: Challenges in Medical and Surgical therapeutics.

105. Puttirutvong P. Mesh graft verus split thickness skin graft in diabetic ulcer coverage. J Med Assoc Thai 2004; 87 (1): 66-72

106. Marston WA. Dermagraft, a bioengineered human dermal equivalent for the treatment of chronic nonhealing diabetic foot ulcer. Expert Rev Med Devices 2004; 1 (1): 21-31

107. Steed DL. Foundations of good ulcer care. Am J Surg 1998; 176 (suppl 2A): S20-S25 108. Oishi SN, Levin LS, Pederson WC. Microsurgical management of extremity wounds in diabetic with peripheral vascular disease. Plast Reconstr Surg 1993; 92: 485-92

109. Hasegawa M, Torii S, Katoh H, Esaki S. The distal based superficial sural artery flap. Plast Reconstr Surg 1994; 93: 1012-20

110. Jeng SF, Wei FC. Distally based sural island flap for foot and ankle reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg 1997; 99: 744-50

111. Rajaic N, Darweesh K, Jayakrishnan RK, Gang RK, Jalic S, et al. The distal based superficial sural flap for reconstruction of the lower leg and foot. Br J Plast Surg 1996; 49: 383-9

112. Shalably HA. The distally based peroneal island flap. Br J Plast Surg 1995; 48: 23-6 113. Tosun Z, Ozkan A, Karacor Z, Savaci N. Delaying the reverse sural flap provides predictable results for complicated wounds in diabetic foot. Ann Plas Surg 2005; 55 (2): 169-73

114. Chen SL, Chen TM, Chou TD, Chen SG, Wang HJ. The distally based lesser saphenuous veno fasciocutaneous flap for ankle and heel reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg 2002; 109: 583-91

115. Chen SL, Chen TM, Chou TD, Chang SC, Wang HJ. Distally based sural fasciomusculocutaneious flap for chronic calcaneal osteomyelitis in diabetic patients. Ann Plast Surg 2005; 54 (1): 44-8

116. Salsich GB, Mueller MJ, Hastings MK, Sinacore DR, Strube MJ, Johnson JE. Effect of Achilles tendon lengthening on ankle muscle performance in people with diabetes and neuropathic plantar ulcer. Phys Ther 2005; 85 (1): 34-42

117. Wieman TJ. Principles of management: the diabetic foot. Am J Surg 2005; 190: 295-9 118. Patel VG, Wieman TJ. Effects of metatarsal head resection for diabetic foot ulcers on the dynamic plantar pressure distribution. Am J Surg 1994; 167: 297-301

119. Smith SD, Weil LS. Peroneus longus tendon lengthening as an adjuvant measure in caves foot surgery. J Foot Surg 1975; 15: 51-4

120. Hamilton GA, Ford LA, Perez H, Rush SM. Salvage of the neuropathic foot by suing bone resection and tendon balancing: A retrospective review. J Foot Ankle Surg 2005; 44 (1): 37-43 121. Stewart J, Reed JF. An audit for Keller arthroplasty and metatarso-phalangeal joint arthrodesis from national data. Int J Low Extrem Wounds 2003; 2 (2): 69-73

122. Majkowski RS, Galloway S. Excision arthroplasty for Hallux valgus in the elderly. A comparison between Keller and modified Mayo operations. Foot Ankle 1992; 13: 317-20 123. Pinzur MS, Sage R, Stuck R, Kaminsky S, Zmuda A. A treatment algorithm for neuropathic (Charcot) midfoot deformity. Foot Ankle 1993; 14: 189-97

124. Sammarco GJ, Conti SF. Srugical treatment of neuroarthropathic foot deformity. Foot Ankle Int 1998; 19: 102-09

125. Simon SR, Tejwani SG, Wilson DL, Santner TJ, Denniston NL. Arthrodesis as an early alternative to nonoperative management of Charcot arthropathy of the diabetic foot. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2000; 82A: 939-50

126. Stone NC, Daniels TR. Midfoot and hindfoot arthrodeses in diabetic Charcot arthropathy. Can J Surg 2000; 43: 449-55

127. Pinzur MS, Noonan T. Ankle arthrodesis with retrograde femoral nail for charcot ankle arthropathy. Foot Ankle Int 2005; 26 (7): 545-9

128. Spencer S. Pressure relieving interventions for preventing and treating diabetic foot ulcers (Cochrane review). Cochrance Database Syst Rev 2000; 3:CD002302.

129. Armstrong DG, Lavery LA. Evidence-based options for off-loading diabetic wounds. Clin Podiatr Med Surg 1998; 15: 95-104

130. Armstrong DG, Stacpoole-Shea S. Total contact casts and removable cast walkers. Mitigation of plantar heel pressure. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 1999; 89: 50-3

131. Cavanagh PR, Ulbrecht JS, Caputo GM. New developments in the biomechanics of the diabetic foot. Diabete Metab Res Rev 2000; 16 (Sippl. I): S6-S10

132. Armstrong DG, Nguyen HC, Lavery LA, van Schie CH, Boulton AJ, Harkless LB. Off-loading the diabetic foot wound: a randomized clinical trial. Diabetes Care 2001; 24:1019-1022.

133. Beuker BJ, van Deurseu RW, Price P, Manning EA, van Baal JG, Harding KG. Plantar pressure in off-loading devices used in diabetic ulcer treatment. Wound Repair Regen 2005; 13 (6): 537-42

134. Garrow AP, van Schie CHM, Boultan AJ. Efficacy of multilayered hosiery in reducing in-sole plantar foot pressure in high risk patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005; 28 (8): 2001-6

135. Lavery LA, Vela SA, Lavery DC, Quebedeaux TL. Reducing dynamic foot pressures in highrisk diabetic subjects with foot ulcerations: A comparison of treatments. Diabetes Care 1996; 19: 818-21

136. Wang C, Schwaitzberg S, Berliner E, Zarin DA, Lau J. Hyperbaric oxygen for treating wounds. A systemic review. Arch Surg 2003; 138: 272-9

137. Oriani G, Meazya D, Favales F. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy in diabetic gangrene. J Hyperbar Med 1990; 5: 171-5

138. Feldmeier JJ, Hopf HW, Warriner RA, Fife CE, Gesell LB, Bennett M. UHMS position statement: Topical oxygen for chronic wounds. Undersea Hyperb Med 2005; 32 (3):157-68 139. Zgonis T, Garbalosa JC, Burns P. A retrospective study of patients with diabetes mellitus after partial foot amputation and hyperbaric oxygen treatment. J Foot Ankle Surg 2005; 44 (4): 276-80

140. Kessler L, Bilbault P, Ortega F, Grasso C, Passemard R, Stephan D, et al. Hyperbaric oxygenation accelerates the healing rate of non-ischaemic chronic diabetic foot ulcers: A prospective randomised study. Diabetes Care 2003; 26: 2378-82

141. Kalian M, Jorneskog G, Naderi N, Lind F, Brismar K. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy in the treatment of diabetic foot ulcers long term follow-up. J Diabetes Complications 2002; 16: 153-8 142. Nabuurs-Franssen MH, Sleegers R, Huijberts MS, Wijnen W, Sanders AP, Walenkamp G, et al. Total contact casting of the diabetic foot in daily practice. A prospective follow-up study. Diabetes Care 2005; 28 (2): 243-7

143. Carter K. Growth factors: The wound healing therapy of the future? Br J Community Nurs 2003; 8: 15-23

144. Huang P, Li S, Han M, Xiao Z, Yang R, Han ZC. Autologous transplantation of granulocyte colony stimulating factor mobilised peripheral blood mononudear cells improves critical limb ischaemia in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005; 28 (9): 2155-60

145. Richard J, Richard C, Daures J, Clouet S, Vannereau D, Bringer J, et al. Effect of topical basic fibroblast growth factor on the healing of chronic diabetic neuropathic ulcer of the foot. Diabetes Care 1995; 18: 64-9

146. Inaba S, Egashira K, Komori K. Peripheral blood or bone marrow mononuclear cells for therapeutic angiogenesis. Lancet 2002; 360: 2083.

147. Kawamura A, Horie T, Tsuda I, Ikeda A, Egawa H, Imamura E, Iida J, et al. Prevention of limb amputation in patients with limb ulcers by autologous peripheral blood mononuclear cell implantation. Ther Apher Dial 2005; 9 (1): 59-63

148. Mukherjee PK, Mukherjee K, Rajesh Kumar M, Pal M, Saha BP. Evaluation of wound healing activity of some herbal formulations. Phytother Res 2003; 17 (3): 265-8

149. Ennis WJ, Foremann P, Mozen N, Massey J, Conner-Kerr T, Meneses P. Ultrasound therapy for recalcitrant diabetic foot ulcers: results of a randomised, double-blind, controlled, multicentre study. Ostomy Wound Manage 2005; 51 (9): 14

150. Wong MW, Leung PC, Wong WC. Limb salvage in extensive diabetic foot ulceration - a preliminary clinical study using simple debridement and herbal drinks. Hong Kong Med J 2001; 7 (4): 403-07

151. Pecoraro RE, Ahroni JH, Boyko EJ, Stensel VL. Chronology and determinants of tissue repair

in diabetic lower extremity ulcers. Diabetes 1991; 40: 1305-13

152. Bowker JH, San Giovanni TP. Minor and major lower limb amputation in persons with diabetes mellitus. In: Bowker JH, Pfiefer MA eds. Levin and O'Neal's: The diabetic foot. St Louis: Mosby 2001; 607-35

153. Margolis DJ, Allen-Taylor L, Hoffstad O, Berlin JA. Diabetic neruopathic foot ulcers and amputation. Wound Rep Regen 2005; 13: 230-6

154. Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. The 14-year incidence of lower-extremity amputations in a diabetic population. Diabetes Care 1999; 22: 951-9

155. Jeffcovate WJ, van Houtum WH. Amputation as a marker of the quality of foot care in diabetes. Diabetologia 2004; 47: 2051-8

156. Vileikyte L, Leventhal H, Gonzalez JS, Peyrot M, Rubin RR, Ulbrecht JS, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy and depressive symptoms. Diabetes Care 2005; 28: 2378-83

157. Valensi P, Girod I, Baron F, Moreau-DeFarges T, Guillon P. Quality of life and clinical correlates in patients with diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Metab 2005; 31 (3): 263-71

158. Nabuurs-Franssen MH, Huijberts MS. Health-related quality of life of diabetic foot ulcer patients and their caregivers. Diabetologia 2005; 48: 1906-10

159. Wraight PR, Lawrence SM, Campbell DA. Creation of a multidisciplinary, evidence based, clinical guideline for the assessment, investigation and management of acute diabeties related foot complications. Diabet Med 2004; 22: 127-36

160. O' Meara S, Cullum N, Majid M, Sheldon T. Systemic reviews of wound care management: diabetic foot ulceration. Health Technol Assess 2000; 4: 1-237

161. American Diabetes Association. Consensus development conference on diabetic foot wound care. Diabetes Care 1999; 22 (8):1354-60





Figure 3a: Diabetic foot ulceration before and after herbal treatment.



Figure 2: Shaded areas of the sole represent the at-risk pressure points.